	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/697,910	WANG, CHENG CHUNG
	Examiner	Art Unit
. ,	James M. Hewitt	3679
All Participants:	Status of Applica	ation:
(1) <u>James M. Hewitt</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Nelson Quintero</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 17 March 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 112 1 st paragraph		
Claims discussed: 18, 19 and 24		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE C See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF W	HAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief su 	n. The examiner will providerate record of the substan	de a written summary of the substance acce of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	licant/Applicant's Represe	ntative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner telephoned Applicant's representative to inform him of the 35 USC 112 1st paragraph rejections of claims 18, 19 and 24 and to attempt to resolve the rejections via Examiner's Amendment in order to expedite the application to issue. Mr. Quintero said that he wanted to speak to his client first before making any decision.